

# SOUTH CAROLINA RABBIT HUNTER SURVEY 2007-08

The Small Game Project, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources (DNR), implemented the South Carolina Rabbit Hunter Survey in the 1991-92 season. The survey was designed to provide reliable, quantitative information about rabbit numbers and rabbit hunter success across the state.

This report presents information from the latest survey and compares data collected for the past 10 years. Information is used to identify trends in rabbit populations and rabbit hunting activities in South Carolina.

#### **METHODS**

Individuals contacted for this rabbit hunter survey included respondents to last year's survey, persons who called, emailed or wrote requesting a survey form, and respondents from a 1999-00 Responsive Management Telephone Survey.

A total of 153 individuals were provided with data sheets, instructions and self-addressed stamped envelopes prior to the start of the 2007-08 rabbit-hunting season. Cooperators were asked to keep accurate records of their hunting activities and to provide the following information about each individual hunt: date and county of hunt, number of hunters, number of hours hunted, number of rabbits jumped, number of rabbits harvested, quail coveys and woodcock flushed. Additional information requested included the number and species of rabbits bagged during the season along with comments on dog performance and season quality. Information on food habits, diseases and general comments were also requested.

At the end of the hunting season, a reminder card was sent to each individual asking that the survey form be returned. A period of 60 days was allowed for hunters to respond. Data were analyzed by county, geographic region and statewide basis.

South Carolina is divided into four broad geographical regions (Fig. 1) based on habitat. The "Piedmont" encompasses about 1/3 of the state and includes the mountain and foothills area. The "Midlands" or "Sandhills" extend on a broad northeast/southwest axis across the middle part of the state. The "Pee Dee" region is located on the northern coastal plain and the "Low Country" is situated in the southern coastal plain.




Figure 1. Physiographic regions of South Carolina.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **STATEWIDE**

A total of 46 individuals responded (4 stated they did not hunt this past season, 1 form was not usable) to the survey with 41 providing usable data. This represents a 30% response from potential cooperators. Survey cooperators recorded 684 hunts and logged 3,183 hours in the field. Hunting parties averaged four individuals per trip and the average hunt length was 4.7 hours. Survey cooperators and their companions expended a minimum of 12,732 man-hours.

Hunting parties jumped an average of 1.37 rabbits per hour and harvested 0.87 rabbits per hour. Based on these figures 64% of the rabbits jumped were harvested. Cottontails (<u>Sylvilagus floridanus</u>) were the predominant species reported harvested followed by marsh rabbits (<u>S. palustris</u>) and swamp rabbits (<u>S. aquaticus</u>), respectively (Fig. 2).





Figure 2. Reported species composition of rabbits harvested by cooperators, South Carolina Rabbit Hunter Survey, 2007-08.

Historically, swamp rabbits have been documented only in the Savannah River drainage of Oconee, Pickens and Anderson Counties (Webster et. al. 1985, Platt and Bunch 2000). It is possible that some marsh rabbits are mistakenly identified as swamp rabbits. Cooperators are provided with a fact sheet describing how to distinguish the three species of rabbits.



A majority (75%) of the rabbit hunting activity documented in South Carolina occurred in the Piedmont Region (516 hunts) and the least amount of activity occurred in the Pee Dee at 2% (14 hunts) (Fig. 3). The highest rabbit-jumping rate (1.44) was recorded in the Low Country (87 hunts). The Low Country, Piedmont, and Midlands recorded 1.44, 1.38, and 1.25 rabbits jumped per hour, respectively (Fig. 4). But the sample size in the Low Country (87 hunts) and the Midlands (67 hunts) were lower than in the Piedmont (516 hunts). (Fig. 4).




Figure 3. Percentage of expended hunting time by region, South Carolina Rabbit Hunter Survey, 2007-08.




Figure 4. Average number of rabbits jumped per hour by region, South Carolina Rabbit Hunter Survey, 2007-08.

#### COUNTY RESULTS

Hunters from 35 of the 46 counties in South Carolina reported rabbit hunting activity during the 2007-2008 season. Chester County led the state in hunter effort (hours hunted) with hunters logging 365 hours in the field during 84 hunts. Following Chester in hunter effort were Hampton (206 hours, 36 hunts) and Saluda (192 hours, 40 hunts).

The top five counties, in terms of rabbits jumped per hour (based on a minimum of 25 hunts), were: Saluda (1.91), Fairfield (1.66), followed by Chester (1.45), Newberry (1.42) and Hampton (1.39). Jumping rates may have variables affecting them such as shooting (or not shooting) on the initial jump, cover thickness delaying a killing shot during the chase, and letting the dogs run for exercise or training. However, jumping rates do give an index to the relative abundance of rabbits in a given area.

# **COMPARISON TO 2006-07 SURVEY**

The number of individuals provided with data sheets decreased from 154 to 153 but individuals responding increased from 33 to 46 with a corresponding response rate increase of 30% over 21%. Number of hunts (684) recorded by all hunting parties increased from 615 with an increase in total hours afield from 2,588 hours to 3,183 hours. Average hunt length increased slightly from 4. 2 hours to 4.7 hours. The minimum number of man-hours expended by rabbit hunters and their companions also increased from 10,352 to 12,732.

Number of rabbits jumped per hour increased from 1.27 in 2006-07 to 1.37 in 2007-08. Rabbits harvested per hour also increased slightly from 0.81 to 0.87.

Statewide, quail flushes decreased from 0.03 to 0.02 coveys per hour and the number of coveys flushed decreased from 71 in 2006-07 to 65 in 2007-08. This was the 12th year data were collected on number of woodcocks flushed. Woodcocks flushed per hour decreased from 0.08 to 0.06 and the number of woodcocks flushed also decreased from 195 to 182 for 2007-08.

The number of counties reporting rabbit hunting activity increased for the second year from 33 to 35 this past season. In 2007-08 Chester, Hampton and Saluda Counties were first, second and third, respectively, in hunter effort (hours hunted), followed by Abbeville and Laurens Counties. In terms of rabbits jumped per hour (minimum of 25 hunts), Saluda, Fairfield, Chester, Newberry and Hampton were the top five counties.

Table 3 summarizes the data collected for the past 10 years of the survey and Figure 5 shows the general trend in rabbits jumped per hour. Both Table 3 and Figure 5 show an upward trend in number of rabbits jumped per hour from 1998 through 2001 with a decrease from the 2002 through the 2003-04 season. The 2004-05 season showed an increase in the number of rabbits jumped per hour of 1.57 with a significant decrease in 2005-06 (1.39) and in 2006-07 (1.26). An increase occurred this past 2007-08 season (1.35)

Table 3. Statewide results, South Carolina Rabbit Hunter Survey, 1998-2008.

YEAR OF SURVEY	RABBITS JUMPED PER HOUR	RABBITS HARVESTED PER HOUR	QUAIL COVEYS FLUSHED PER HOUR
1997-98	1.43*	0.77*	0.04
1998-99	1.68*	0.93*	0.04
1999-00	1.72	0.98	0.03
2000-01	1.73	1.00*	0.03
2001-02	1.68	1.00	0.04
2002-03	1.54*	0.92*	0.03
2003-04	1.48*	0.90	0.04
2004-05	1.57*	0.98*	0.05
2005-06	1.39*	0.84*	0.04
2006-07	1.26*	0.77*	0.03
2007-08	1.35*	0.83*	0.02

<sup>\*</sup>Significantly different from the previous year (P<0.05)




Figure 5. Rabbits jumped per hour, South Carolina Rabbit Hunter Survey, 1998-2008.

# **COOPERATOR COMMENTS**

As a whole, most cooperators rated the 2007-08 rabbit-hunting season as good or fair with only 3.6% rating the season as poor (Fig. 6). Cooperators rated the performance of their dogs as good or fair (Fig. 7).




Figure 6. Rating of season quality by cooperators, South Carolina Rabbit Hunter Survey, 2007-2008.




Figure 7. Rating of hound performance by cooperators, South Carolina Rabbit Hunter Survey, 2007-2008.

Cooperators again reported dry, warm days as having a negative influence (fewer days in the field, scent conditions) on hunting this past year. One cooperator expressed concern about the availability of public lands decreasing. A few cooperators stated that rabbit numbers had increased where there was suitable habitat. A majority of the cooperators reported rabbits appeared to be in good physical condition. One cooperator stated "It was seldom 15 minutes went by that we did not have a rabbit up, lots of rabbits compared to last year." Another cooperator stated "I had a great hunting season and am glad that I found out about this report for Small Game." Approximately 64 percent of the cooperators reported the season as good.

# **SUMMARY**

The 2007-08 Rabbit Hunter Survey marked the 17th year of building a database on rabbit populations and rabbit hunter success in South Carolina. Plans are to continue this survey during the 2008-09 season. Additional efforts will be made to increase cooperator response as well as reporting accuracy. If you are aware of someone who hunts rabbits but does not participate in this survey, please encourage them to do so. They can contact Small Game Project at 803-734-3609.

A reminder for next year: Data cannot be entered without hunter name and mailing address or if all hunts are submitted as one entry. This past season, four cooperators reported harvesting 151 rabbits but did not report which species; therefore the number 151 was analyzed for harvest amount but not species designation.

# LITERATURE CITED

Webster, W. D., J. F. Parnell, Jr. and W. C. Biggs, Jr. 1985. Mammals of the Carolinas, Virginia and Maryland. The University of North Carolina Press.

Platt, Steven G., and Mary Bunch. 2000. Distribution and Status of the Swamp Rabbit in South Carolina. Proc Annual Conference, Southeast Association Fish and Wildlife Agencies 54:407-413.